UNITEDSTATESOFAMERICA BEFORETHE FEDERALENERGYREGULATORYCOMMISSION

MidwestIndependentTransmission)	DocketNo.ER02 -947-000
SystemOperator)	

COMMENTSOFTHE ILLINOISCOMMERCECOMMISSION

PursuanttoRule211oftheCommission'sRu lesofPracticeandProcedure,18C.F.R. 385.211,theIllinoisCommerceCommission("ICC")herebysubmitsitscommentsintheabove captionedproceedinginresponsetoarevisedfilingsubmittedbytheMidwestIndependent TransmissionSystemOperator("Mid westISO").

I.Background

OnFebruary1,2002,theMidwestISOtenderedforfilingwiththeFederalEnergy

RegulatoryCommission("Commission")proposedrevisionstotheMidwestISOOpenAccess

TransmissionTariff("OATT").Theintentoftherevisions ,asstatedinAttachmentTofthe
revisedfiling,wastoaccommodateretailcustomerchoiceinIllinois,MichiganandOhio.

PertainingtoIllinois,AttachmentTproposedtorevisetheMidwestISOOATT,insofarasitwas
applicabletoserviceinCentralI llinoisLightCompany's("CILCO")serviceterritoryto:(1)
definetermsspecifictoIllinois'retaildirectaccessprogram;and(2)clarifytheMidwestISO's
provisionoftransmissionserviceinthespecificIllinoisretaildirectaccesscontext.

1 The

 $^{^{1}} Midwest ISO's February 1,2002 Attachment Tfilling, Transmittal Letter, at 5.$

CommissionofficiallynoticedthefilingonFebruary6,2002,establishingFebruary22,2002,as theCommentDate.

OnMarch5,2002theICCsubmittedcommentstotheCommissionregardingtheportion ofAttachmentTpertainingtoIllinois. ²Specifically,t heICCrecommendedthatthe

Commission:1)directtheMidwestISOtoreviset heIllinoisportionofAttachmentTapplicable totransmissionserviceprovidedinCILCO'sserviceareatobetteraccommodateretaildirect accessinIllinois,whichrevisionsar eshowninAppendixAoftheICC'sCommentsand explainedinSectionAofthoseComments;and2)applytheportionofAttachmentTrelevantto

Illinois,asrevisedbytheICCinAppendixA,toallMidwestISOutilityparticipantsrequiredto providedelive ryservicesunderIllinoisstatute,inparticulartothoseparticipantsoperatinginthe

IllinoisserviceterritoryofAlliantWestonbehalfofInterstatePowerCompanyandinthe serviceterritoryofAmericanTransmissionCompanyonbehalfofSouthBeloi tWater&Gas Company.³

OnMarch11,2002,inresponsetopost -filingdiscussionswithboththeICCandCILCO, theMidwestISOfiledrevisionstoAttachmentT.

⁴Inthatfiling,theMidwestISOstatesthatthe followingrevisionsweremadeatthesugge stionoftheICC ⁵:

- 1. Section 2.2 of the Illinois Section of Attachment Twas revised to include language that clarifies that, for the purposes of Section 2.2, retail customers are existing firms ervice customers;
- 2. Section24.1oftheIllinoisSectionofAttachmentTwasrevisedattherequestof theICCtodeletelanguagethatconfusestheissueofwhenatransmission customeristoretainownershipofmeteringequipment;and
- 3. Sections 3.5 and 3.6 of the Illinois Section of Attachment Thave been deleted because the ICC believes that they do not facilitate retail direct access in Illinois

2

_

²TheICChascurrentlypendingbeforetheCommissionar equest,unopposedbytheMidwestISO,todeferthe February22,2002filingdateor,inthealternativetoextendthatdatetoMarch5,2002.FERChasnotyetruledon theICC'srequest.

³ICCCommentsonMidwestISO'sFebruary1AttachmentTfiling,a t3.

⁴TransmittalLetter,at1

⁵TransmittalLetter,at2

and that they discriminate against unbundled service in the Central Illinois Light Company's service territory.

InadditiontotheICC'ssuggestedrevisions,theMidwest ISOstatesthat,atCILCO's suggestion,itproposedthefollowingchangestoAttachmentT ⁶:

- 1. Section 2.2 has been revised to capitalize Transmission Service as a defined term in the Midwest ISOO ATT;
- 2. Section 2.2 has been revised to extend the transmission reservation priority to Bundled Load customers when the yinitially request unbundled transmission service;
- 3. Sections 3.5 and 3.6 have been changed to reflect the revision of the penalty provisions for unauthorized use contained in CILCO's OATT to conform the current penalty provisions in the Midwest ISOOATT;
- 4. Section 7.3 has been changed to delete provisions already contained in the Midwest ISOOATT;
- 5. Section 24.1 has been modified to conform with the applicable rules and regulations of the ICC that apply ytometering, except as regards dynamic scheduling, which would be covered by a separate agreement; and
- 6. Section29.4hasbeenchangedtodeletetheprovisionsapplicabletoNetwork CustomerFacilitiesastheseprovisionsarealreadycoveredintheMidwest OATT.

InadditiontotherevisionssuggestedbyboththeICCandCILCO,theMidwestISO
modifiedAttachmentTtoapplytoallretailcustomerstakingserviceundertheMidwestISO
OATTineachrespectivestate,insteadofjusttothecustomersofce rtaintransmissionowners
identifiedintheMidwestISO'sFebruary1filing.
7

The Midwest ISO has requested are troactive effectived at eof February 1,2002 to coincide with the commencement date of transmissions ervice under the Midwest ISO.

The Commissi on officially noticed the filing on March 14,2002 and established April 1,2002 as the deadline for comments and protests.

⁷TransmittalLetter,at1

3

_

⁶TransmittalLetter,at2

⁸TransmittalLetter,at2

II.Recommendation

The ICC recommends that the Commission direct the Midwest ISO to delete Section 7.3, in its entirety, from the Illinois portion of Attachment T. As explained *infra*, Section 7.3 does not hing to accommodate retail choice in Illinois. Moreover, its inclusion in Attachment T grants additional authority to the Midwest ISO that, in the ICC's view, is unwarranted.

III.Discussion

InitsMarch5CommentsregardingtheMidwestISO'sFebruary1AttachmentTfiling, theICCrecommendedthatcertainmodificationsbemadeinorderfortheIllinoisportionofthe MidwestISO'sAttachmentTtocomportwithIllinois'reta ilchoiceregime. 9WhiletheMidwest ISO'srevisedAttachmentTincorporatesthemajorityoftheICC'ssuggestedrevisions,its revisionsregardingSection7.3,limitedastheyaretothedeletionofprovisionsalreadyfoundin theMidwestISOOATT,dono tsufficientlyaddresstheICC'sconcerns.

Evenasrevised, Section 7.3 permits the Midwest ISO, astransmission provider, to terminate transmissions ervice immediately if an Illinois alternative retailener gysupplier ("ARES") fails to make full payme ntto the Midwest ISO within the designated time period. Such termination is permitted in cases in which Illinois retails ervice tariffs provide for the continuation of retails ervice by another supplier (other than the ARES that the Midwest ISO has terminated).

The ICC stands by its original criticism of Section 7.3 as doing nothing to accommodate retail direct access in Illinois and reiter at esits recommendation that Section 7.3 be deleted in its entire ty from Attachment T. Incontrast, Section 7.4 eferences the ICC's statutory authority to de-certify an ARES and transfer that load to another supplier. It is the ICC authority referred to

4

 $^{{}^9}ICCComments on Midwest ISO's February 1 Attachment Tfiling, at 3.\\$

inSection7.4thatfacilitatesasmoothretaildirectaccessprograminIllinoisandprotectsretail customers.

AttachmentT'sproposedSection7.3,ontheotherhand,isdesignedsolelytobenefitthe MidwestISObyprovidingitwithanadditionalmethodtodiscontinueprovidingtransmission servicetoanARES.TheCommissionshouldnotprovidetheMidwestISOw iththisadditional authority. The provisions of the Midwest ISO's current Section 7.3 offer the Midwest ISO $sufficient protection in the event an ARES falls behind on transmission service payments to the {\it the analysis} and {\it the analysis} and {\it the analysis} are the {\it the analysis} and {\it the analysis} are the {\it the analysis} and {\it the analysis} are the {\it the analysis} and {\it the analysis} are the {\it the analysis} and {\it the analysis} are the {\it the analysis} are t$ ATTSection7.3permitstheMidwestISO MidwestISO.Indeed,theMidwestISO'sexistingO toinitiateaproceedingattheCommissionthroughwhichitcanobtainauthorizationto discontinuetransmissionservicetoanARESuponapropershowing. ¹⁰Additionally,the MidwestISOoranyotherinterestedparty canseektohaveanoffendingARESde -certifiedby theICC.Uponsuchde -certification, Section 7.4 permits the Midwest ISO to terminate transmissionservice.

IV.Conclusion

Foralltheaforementionedreasons,theIllinoisCommerceCommissionrespectfu lly requeststhattheCommissiondirecttheMidwestISOtodeleteSection7.3fromtheIllinois portionoftheMidwestISO'sAttachmentT.TheeliminationofSection7.3willenablethe lllinoisportionofAttachmentTtocomplywithIllinois'retailchoi ceregime.

1.

¹⁰Beyondthis, the existing provisions of the Midwest ISOOATT already imposes tringent credit standards on any entity seeking to obtain transmissions ervice in the first instance. See, e.g., OATT Section 11.

Dated:April1,2002

Respectfullysubmitted,

<u>/s/</u>

ILLINOISCOMMERCECOMMISSION By:

MyraL.Karegianes GeneralCounseland SpecialAssistantAttorneyGeneral

/s/

JohnP.Kelliher
SpecialAssistantAttorneyGeneral
IllinoisCommerceCommission
160N.LaSalle,SuiteC- 800
Chicago,Illinois60601
(312)793 -2877